

Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement

The Editors and EB Members take every precaution to guard against any violation of publication ethics by the contributors to the journal. Mostly the manuscripts deal with 'secondary' data sets for illustrative purposes of the theories/methodologies proposed therein and suggestive possible application areas. When there are theoretical issues dealt with by the authors, the Editors aim at choosing reviewers who are subject-area experts and competent enough to judge the merits and the technical details in the submission. Generally there are cases of 2-3 revisions before a manuscript is eventually accepted for publication. There are submissions leading to rejection as well.

Any submitted manuscript is first read by one of the Editors for 'screening purpose'. Once satisfied, it is assigned to one EB Member for further reading and processing. Otherwise, the Editors suggest the names of reviewers who are known to be subject-area experts.

The contributors are generally aware of the implications of following any malpractice while preparing a manuscript for journal publication. Every precaution should be exercised by the contributors of manuscripts [for publication in the journal] to stay completely away from following any malpractice whatsoever. All necessary references must be included in the list of references and due acknowledgement should be made in the manuscript for use of any published material. Any explicit/implicit implication of malpractice will immediately force towards outright rejection of the manuscript and no further correspondence/submission by the concerned author will be entertained by the Editors.

All the above considerations are equally applicable to the Editors and EB Members in case they wish to submit manuscript(s) for publication in the journal.